

Organization **TC2100** **PANDOLPH**
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450
If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days
Official Business
Penalty For Private Use, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

08540+6604-3834501450

NIXIE
BC: 22313145050 *0117-05209-10-42
RETURN TO SENDER
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD
USPTO MAIL CENTER
JAN 24 2006

RECEIVED
JAN 26 2006

02 1A
0004205065 JAN 18 2006
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314
U.S. OFFICIAL MAIL
PENALTY FOR
PRIVATE USE \$300
UNITED STATES POSTAGE
PITNEY BOWES
\$00.39



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AFW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/976,882

10/13/2001

Gregory Scott Lett

1/0004

2161

29571 7590 01/18/2006

PHYSIOME SCIENCES, INC.
150 COLLEGE ROAD WEST
PRINCETON, NJ 08540



EXAMINER

PROCTOR, JASON SCOTT

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2123

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/976,882	LETT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason Proctor	2123	

All Participants:

(1) Jason Proctor.

(2) Amy Sauter.

Status of Application: Restriction mailed, pending election

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 January 2006

Time: 1:40 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature) 1/10/2006

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attempts to reach attorney of record Leslie Gladstone Restaino (38,893) on 12/15/2005 revealed that her applications are allegedly being handled by Amy Sauther (reg. number unknown). I informed Amy Sauther (on 12/15/2005, 1/5/2006, and 1/9/2006) that a restriction requirement was mailed in this application on 8/9/2005, but was returned as undeliverable. Apparently the correspondence address for this application is inaccurate or out of date. I informed Amy Sauther that if a corrected correspondence address is filed, the restriction requirement will be mailed again. The period for reply was not discussed. As of this date (1/10/2006) I have had no response from Amy Sauther and the correspondence address remains unchanged in the application..